

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vorginia 22313-1450
www.uspin.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/998,549 | 11/28/2001 | Emie F. Brickell | 10559-505001/P8790X | 7968 | |
| 20985 | 7590 11/01/ | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | CHARDSON, PC | ELISCA, I | ELISCA, PIERRE E | | |
| | AMINO REAL). CA 92130-2081 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 3621 | | |
| | | • | DATE MAILED: 11/01/200 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1 | | | | , ! | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 7 | | | |
| | | 09/998,549 | BRICKELL ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Pierre E. Elisca | 3621 | | | | |
| The | MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| | ey present additional claims without cancel DTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ns. | | | |
| 3. Applica | nt's reply has overcome the following rejec | ction(s): | | | | | |
| 4.☐ Newly p canceli | proposed or amended claim(s) would ng the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment | | | |
| 5. The a) applica | ☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo tion in condition for allowance because: | r reconsideration has been cons | sidered but does NO | OT place the | | | |
| | davit or exhibit will NOT be considered be by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | re newly | | | |
| 7. For purple explana | poses of Appeal, the proposed amendment ation of how the new or amended claims w | t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel | o) will be entered ow or appended. | and an | | | |
| | tus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | • | • • | | | | |
| | s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-16, 18-23, 25-34 and 36-40. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The dra | wing correction filed on is a) app | roved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| | e attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | . , , , | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 20041028